

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

v.

Miguel Carrasquillo,

*Defendant.*

**ORDER**

**19 Cr. 539 (PGG)  
21 Cv. 10880 (PGG)**

PAUL G. GARDEPHE, U.S.D.J.:

WHEREAS Miguel Carrasquillo has moved for relief from his conviction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel;

WHEREAS the Court, after reviewing the motion papers, has concluded that the testimony of Defendant's former counsel ("Counsel") will be necessary in order to address Defendant's motion;

WHEREAS, by making the motion, the Defendant has waived the attorney-client privilege as a matter of law; and

WHEREAS the Court is cognizant that, absent court order or informed consent, ethical concerns may inhibit Counsel from disclosing confidential information relating to a prior client even in the absence of a privilege, *see, e.g.*, ABA Standing Comm. on Ethics and Prof. Responsibility Formal Op. 10-456 (July 14, 2010), *Disclosure of Information to Prosecutor When Lawyer's Former Client Brings Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Claim*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Counsel shall give sworn testimony, in the form of an affidavit, addressing Defendant's allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant execute and return to this Court within 45 days from today's date the accompanying "Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)" form. If the document is not received by the Court within 45 days from today's date, the Court will deny Defendant's Section 2255 motion, on the ground that he has not authorized the disclosure of information necessary to permit the Government to respond to the motion, and the Court to address it; and it is further

ORDERED that the Government shall have 45 days from receipt of Defendant's affidavit to respond to his Section 2255 motion.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 27, 2022

*The Clerk of Court will send Defendant a copy of this Order and the attached*  
HON. PAUL G. GARDEPHE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

*Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver -  
Form by certified mail*

SO ORDERED.

*Paul G. Gardephe*

Paul G. Gardephe  
United States District Judge

U UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

v.

Miguel Carrasquillo,

*Defendant.*

19 Cr. 539 (PGG)  
21 Cv. 10880 (PGG)

**Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)**

To: Miguel Carrasquillo

You have made a motion under Section 2255 of Title 28, United States Code, to have your conviction set aside on the ground that you received ineffective assistance from your former lawyer. The court has reviewed your papers and determined that it is unclear which attorney allegedly rendered ineffective assistance. Please clarify by circling below the name of the attorney who allegedly rendered ineffective assistance of counsel: Marc Greenwald (who represented you in pretrial proceedings before the District Court), or Lawrence Gerzog (who represented you during your appeal).

The court has further determined that it needs to have a sworn testimonial statement from your prior counsel in order to evaluate your motion.

By making this motion, you have waived the attorney-client privilege you had with your former attorney to the extent relevant to determining your claim. This means that if you wish to press your claim of ineffective assistance, you cannot keep the communications between yourself and your former attorney a secret—you must allow them to be disclosed to the Government and to the Court pursuant to court order. The court has already issued an Order (copy attached) ordering your former attorney to give such testimony, in the form of an affidavit. This Informed Consent form is designed to ensure that you fully understand and agree to this.

Specifically, if you wish to proceed with your motion to set aside your conviction on the basis that you received ineffective assistance of counsel, you must sign this statement and return it to the court in the attached envelope (keeping a copy for your records). The form constitutes your authorization to your former attorney to disclose confidential communications (1) only in response to a court order and (2) only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by your motion.

You should know that if you sign this authorization, you run the risk that your former attorney will contradict your statements about his or her representation of you. However, you should also know that the court will deny your motion if you do not authorize your former attorney to give an affidavit in response to the Court's attached Order.

You must return this form, signed by you and notarized, within forty-five (45) days from the date of the Court's Order directing your former lawyer to give testimony. If the Court does not receive this form, signed by you and notarized, within that time, the court will automatically deny your motion.

NOTARIZED AUTHORIZATION

I have read the Court's Order dated \_\_\_\_\_ and this document headed Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent). I hereby authorize my former attorney, Marc Greenwald / Lawrence Gerzog, to comply with the Court's Order by giving testimony, in the form ordered by the court, relating to my motion to set aside my conviction on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel. This authorization allows my former attorney to testify only pursuant to court order, and only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by my motion.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public